# **Best-SAT**

YouTube

Tomáš Sláma 20. 5. 2022

This PDF was automatically generated (March 28, 2024) from the website https://slama.dev/youtube/best-sat,

which is the prefered way of viewing this document. Please excuse any conversion-related mistakes.

This post is an expanded translation of my lecture notes from a Randomized and Approximation Algorithms course that I took, and a more detailed explanation of the topics covered in my **video about BEST-SAT**.

### **Basic definitions**

**Definice (Optimalization problem)** is a tuple  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}, f, g$ 

- set of all input instances  $\mathcal{I}$
- sets of **permissible inputs**  $\forall I \in \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{F}(I)$
- utility function  $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, A \in \mathcal{F}(I) : f(I, A)$
- whether we're **maximizing** or **minimizing** (a single bit g)

**Definice (NP-Optimalization problem)** is an optimalization problem  $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{F}, f, g$ , for which we additionally require that:

- the length of all permissible solutions is polynomial
- the language of  $(I, A), I \in \mathcal{I}, A \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  is polynomial
- we can check the correctness of a solution in polynomial time
- f is computable in polynomial time

**Definice:** algorithm A is R-approximation, if:

- it computes the solution in polynomial time (in terms of |I|)
- for minimalization problem:  $\forall I : f(A) \leq R \cdot \operatorname{OPT}(I)$
- for maximalization problem:  $\forall I : f(A) \ge \operatorname{OPT}(I)/R$

### MAX-SAT

- Input:  $C_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge C_n$ , each clause is a disjunction of  $k_j \ge 1$  literals
- *Output:* evaluation  $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$  of the variables (sometimes called literals)
- Goal: maximize the number of satisfied clauses  $\sum w_j$

We also assume that:

- no literal repeats in a clause
- at most one of  $x_i, \overline{x}_i$  appearas in a clause

## RAND-SAT

#### Algoritmus (RAND-SAT)

1. choose all literals randomly (independently, for p = 1/2)

2. profit?

Věta: RAND-SAT is a 2-approximation algorithm.

**Důkaz:** we'll create an indicator variable  $Y_j$  for each clause

• the chance that  $C_j$  is not satisfied is  $\frac{1}{2^k}$ 

Since the size of the clause  $k \ge 1$ , we get  $\mathbb{E}[Y_j] = \Pr[C_j \text{ is satistied}] = 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \ge \frac{1}{2}$ , thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_{j}\right] \stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{j}\right] \ge \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} \text{OPT}$$

 $[1]_{An}$  example problem could be minimum spanning trees:

[1]

[2]

<sup>•</sup> **input instances:** set of all weighted graphs

<sup>-</sup>  ${\bf permissible\ inputs:\ } spanning\ trees\ for\ the\ given\ weighted\ graph$ 

<sup>•</sup> utility function: the spanning tree weight (sum of its edges)

<sup>•</sup> we're **minimizing** 

<sup>[2]</sup> For minimalization problem, we ensure that the solution is always small enough. For maximalization problem, we ensure that the solution is always large enough.

### LP-SAT

#### Algoritmus (LP-SAT)

- 1. build an integer linear program:
  - variables will be:
    - $-y_i$  for each literal
    - $-z_j$  for each clause
  - inequalitites will be one for *each clause*, in the form

$$z_j \le \sum_{\text{positive}} y_i + \sum_{\text{negative}} (1 - y_i)$$

- we'll maximize the number of satisfied clauses  $\sum z_j$
- 2. relax the program (allow real variables instead of integers) and calculate the optimum  $y^*, z^*$
- 3. set literals  $x_i$  to 1 with probability  $y_i^*$

**Věta:** LP-SAT is a  $(1 - \frac{1}{e})$ -approximation algorithm.

To prove this, we'll use a few lemmas/theorems that aren't difficult to prove, but aren't really interesting. I left links to (Wikipedia and I don't feel bad about it) articles with proofs for each, if you're interested.

Fakt (A - A/G mean inequality)

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$

Důkaz: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inequality\_of\_arithmetic\_and\_geometric\_means

Fakt (B - Jensen's inequality) if a function is concave on the interval [0, 1] and f(0) = a, f(1) = a + b, then

$$\forall x \in [0,1] : f(x) \ge a + bx$$

Důkaz: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jensen%27s\_inequality

Fakt (C - 1/e inequality)

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \le \frac{1}{e}$$

Důkaz: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/E\_(mathematical\_constant)#Inequalities

**Důkaz (of the main theorem)** consider  $y^*, z^*$  and  $C_j$  with  $k_j$  literals; then

$$\Pr\left[C_{j} \text{ is not satisfied}\right] = \underbrace{\prod_{i: x_{i} \in C_{j}} (1 - y_{i}^{*}) \prod_{i: \overline{x}_{i} \in C_{j}} y_{i}^{*}}_{A \leq \left[\frac{1}{k_{j}} \left(\sum_{i: x_{i} \in C_{j}} (1 - y_{i}^{*}) + \sum_{i: \overline{x}_{i} \in C_{j}} y_{i}^{*}\right)\right]^{k_{j}}}_{= \left[1 - \frac{1}{k_{j}} \left(\sum_{i: x_{i} \in C_{j}} y_{i}^{*} + \sum_{i: \overline{x}_{i} \in C_{j}} (1 - y_{i}^{*})\right)\right]^{k_{j}}}_{\leq \left(1 - \frac{z_{j}^{*}}{k_{j}}\right)^{k_{j}}}$$

[3]

<sup>[3]</sup> We're using the optimal solution to the linear program (and generally the formula, if we allow real values for literals) as a guide for our randomized algorithm.

We're interested in the satisfied ones, so

$$\Pr\left[C_{j} \text{ is satisfied}\right] \geq \underbrace{1 - \left(1 - \frac{z_{j}^{*}}{k_{j}}\right)^{k_{j}}}_{B \geq \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k_{j}}\right)^{k_{j}}\right] z_{j}^{*}} \stackrel{C}{\geq} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) z_{j}^{*}$$

To use fact B, we observed that a = f(0) = 0 and that the second derivation is non-positive (so the function is concave). Now to formally count how many our program satisfies:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_{j}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{j}\right]$$
$$\geq \sum_{j \in U} \Pr\left[C_{j} \text{ is satisfied}\right]$$
$$\geq \sum_{j \in U} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) z_{j}^{*}$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \operatorname{OPT}$$

### **BEST-SAT**

#### Algoritmus (BEST-SAT)

- 1. assign a value of a literal using RAND-SAT with probability 1/2, else use BEST-SAT
- 2. have an existential crisis about the fact that this works and is asymptotically optimal

Věta: BEST-SAT is  $\frac{3}{4}$ -approximation.

**Důkaz:** we want to prove that  $\Pr[C_j \text{ is satisfied}] \geq \frac{3}{4}z_j^*$ .

Let's look at the probability that each algorithm satisfies a clause of k variables:

- RAND-SAT: 1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2<sup>k</sup></sub> (at least one literal must be satisfied)
  LP-SAT: [1 (1 <sup>1</sup>/<sub>k</sub>)<sup>k</sup>] z<sup>\*</sup><sub>j</sub> (the formula right before using fact C)

Now the proof boils down to the following table:

$\overline{k_j}$	RAND-SAT	LP-SAT	BEST-SAT
$ \frac{1}{2} \ge 3 $	$ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} z_{j}^{*} \\ \geq \frac{3}{4} z_{j}^{*} \\ \geq \frac{7}{8} z_{j}^{*} \end{array} $	$egin{array}{lll} 1 \cdot z_j^* \ rac{3}{4} \cdot z_j^* \ \geq \left(1 - rac{1}{e} ight) \cdot z_j^* \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}z_{j}^{*} \geq \frac{3}{4}z_{j}^{*} \\ \geq \frac{3}{4}z_{j}^{*} \\ > \frac{3}{4}z_{j}^{*} \end{array} $